Consultation on the regulatory fitness of chemicals legislation (excl. REACH)

Part I – General Information about Respondents

1. Address

Contact name: Oliver Loebel
Organisation/company: PU Europe
Country: Belgium

Email Address: loebel@pu-europe.eu

2. If you have a Transparency Register ID number, please provide it below.

27993486325-38

- 3. Received contributions may be published on the Commission's website, with the identity of the contributor. Please state your preference with regard to the publication of your contribution.
- My contribution may be published under the name indicated; I declare that none of it is subject to copyright restrictions that prevent publication.
- 4. We might need to contact you to clarify some of your answers. Please state your preference below:
- I am available to be contacted.
- 5. Please indicate whether you are replying to this questionnaire as:
- An industry association
- 6. If a business or industry association, please indicate your field(s) of interest or activity(ies) the letters in between brackets correspond to NACE codes [multiple choice]:
- Manufacture of rubber and plastic products (C22)
- 7. For businesses, please indicate the size of your business:

Not relevant.

- 8. Please indicate the level at which your organisation is active:
- EU

Part II - General Questions

9. How important is it in your view that there is chemical and chemical-related

legislation* at EU-le important; 5= very im		der to ac	hieve the	following	objective	es? (1 = not
	1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
Protecting human health	0	0	0	0	•	0
Protecting the environment	0	0	0	•	0	0
Ensuring a well-functioning internal market**	0	0	0	0	•	О
Stimulating competitiveness and innovation	0	0	•	0	0	0
*10. Do you think the in achieving the follow						
	1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
Protecting human health	0	0	0	•	0	
Protecting the environment	0	0	0	•	\circ	0
Ensuring a well-functioning internal market	0	0	•	0	0	0
Stimulating competitiveness and innovation	0	0	•	0	0	0
*11. If you think the E only somewhat (2, 3) for this limited effecti	effective, p	olease indi	cate what y			
	The legislat unclea	ion is not	e legislation is adapted to the sues at stake	The legisla not effect impleme	tively l	No opinion or not applicable
Protecting human health						▽
Protecting the environment						~
Ensuring a well-functioning internal market						•
Stimulating competitiveness and innovation			V			
*12. To what extent do had an added value ab level? (1= no value, 5=	ove what o	ould have	been achiev			_
	1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
EU-level legislation adds value national level action	to O	0	0	•	0	0

Part III - Specific Questions

13. Please select the legislation that regulates or otherwise affects your sector's or your company's activities.

- Classification, labelling and packaging (Regulation No (EC) 1272/2008)
- Inland transport of dangerous goods (Directive 2008/68/EC)
- Chemical Agents (Directive 98/24/EC)
- Industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) (Directive 2010/75/EU)
- Waste framework (Directive 2008/98/EC) and List of Waste
- Waste shipments (Regulation (EC) No 1013/2006)
- Major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances (Seveso) (Directive 2012/18/EU)
- Packaging and Packaging Waste (Directive 94/62/EC)
- Export and import of hazardous chemicals (Regulation No 649/2012)
- Test methods (Regulation (EC) No 440/2008)
- Good Laboratory Practice (Directives 2004/9/EC and 2004/10/EC)
- Protection of animals used for scientific purposes (Directive 2010/63/EU)

Other (please specify)

X Regulation 517/2014 on fluorinated greenhouse gases

Effectiveness

- 14. In the EU legislative framework, risk management measures are, in some cases, determined directly based on the identified hazard using generic risk considerations, which justify the automatic adoption of such measures. In other cases, the risk management measures are determined by a specific risk assessment that assesses the probability of adverse health and environmental effects resulting from the specific exposure scenarios associated with the proposed use(s) of the chemical. Do you think EU chemical and chemical-related legislation should, in general:
- a. Be more oriented towards specific risk assessments (i.e. differentiate more between chemicals depending on their use despite the possibility of prolonged discussions and implementation delays)
- b. Be more oriented towards generic risk considerations (i.e. take more cautious approaches, despite the possibility that certain uses of a chemical that are in the interest of society might be restricted)
- c. Remain as it is because the balance is more or less right (i.e. the legislation ensures appropriate application of specific risk assessments and generic risk considerations)
- d. I don't know

If you answered a or b, please explain

The risk associated with a chemical substance largely depends on its specific use conditions and the related exposure / release to the environment.

For example, an eco-toxic substance might be used in an application without any risk of release to waste water. Alternatively, it might be used in a way that involves risks of release to the environment, e.g. in the case of washing agents. The first use is safe, while the second is not.

Hence a specific risk assessment is in general more appropriate to assess impacts and define the most effective risk mitigation measures.

15. In your view, apart from the hazard and/or risk of a chemical substance or mixture,
are all relevant considerations taken into account in regulatory decision making on
risk management (e.g. whether there will be combined effects of chemicals, whether
there are certain vulnerable groups, whether there will be impacts on jobs or on the
competitiveness of EU industry, etc.)? Please explain your answer.

0	Yes
•	No
0	I don't know

If you answered no, please explain which considerations are not (sufficiently) taken into account and, if relevant, explain which legislation you are referring to.

The "impacts on jobs / competiveness of EU industry" are not fully taken into account.

Example: European implementation of the Rotterdam Convention/PIC

The EU legislation covers more substances than global PIC. Hence, if chemical manufacturers want to export products containing these substances outside EU, additional administrative efforts and costs occur which chemical manufacturers in other countries (e.g. China, USA) do not have to sustain. The most recent amendment of this directive covers organotin compounds. If the costs for "EU organotin" increase due to this legislation, customers in non-EU countries might switch to organotin compounds that are manufactured under less safe conditions than in the EU.

16. In your view, to what extent are the following elements of the overall EU legislative framework for chemicals satisfactory? (1= not satisfactory, 5= very satisfactory)

	1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
Transparency of procedures	0	\circ	0	•	0	0
Speed with which hazards/risks are identified	0	0	0	•	0	0
Speed with which identified risks are addressed	0	0	•	0	0	0
Time to allow duty holders to adapt	0	0	•	0	0	0
Predictability of the outcomes	0	•	0	0	0	0
Stability of the legal framework	0	•	0	\circ	0	0
Clarity of the legal texts	0	0	0	0	•	0
Guidance documents and implementation support	0	0	•	0	0	0
Effective implementation and enforcement across Member States	0	0	•	0	0	0
Consistent implementation and enforcement across Member States	0	•	0	0	0	0
Public awareness and outreach	0	0	•	0	0	0
International collaboration and harmonisation	0	•	0	0	0	0

Please explain your answers and list any other aspect you consider relevant. If you have specific legislation in mind, please specify it.

In the case of environmental protection, national levels of enforcement vary significantly between Member States.

17. In your view, to what exten satisfactory? (1= not satisfactory,			_		s of	risk	managemer
	1	2	3	4		5	I don't know
Hazard identification criteria	0	0	0	•			0
Risk assessment and characterisation	0	0	0	•		0	0
Hazard and risk communication measures to consumers (e.g. labels, pictograms, etc.)	0	0	0	•		0	0
Hazard and risk communication measures to workers (e.g. labels, pictograms, safety data sheets etc.)	0	0	0	0		•	0
Risk management measures restricting or banning the use of chemicals	0	0	0	•		0	0
Risk management measures regulating the safe use of chemicals (e.g. packaging requirements or requirements for the use of personal protective equipment)	0	0	0	C		•	0
data. Do you consider these requies Yes No I don't know	mem	ients to i	эе аррго	ppriate :			
Efficiency							
19. What are the most significant chemical and chemical related leg Reducing the exposure of consumers an	gisla	tion?			-	-	
healthcare costs, lost productivity, etc. Reducing the exposure of workers to too productivity, etc.							
Reducing the damage to the environme contaminated water, restoring impacted reduced crop pollinisation, etc.							
Encouraging research and innovation, g chemicals industry by encouraging/sup economy							
Stimulating competition and trade within	the E	EU single m	arket				
Stimulating international trade between	the E	U and othe	r countries				
20. What are the most significant and chemical related legislation?	cost	s incurre	ed by EU	l society (due t	o EU	chemical

Costs for authorities at national level

Costs for small and medium sized	enterpris	ses							
Costs for large enterprises									
Costs for consumers									
Costs for society in general									
21. In your view, do any of the lead to significant costs for co			irements	in the le	gislative	framew	ork		
Classification requirements for sub	ostances	and mixture	es						
Chemical labelling and packaging	requirem	ents							
Risk management measures unde	er the diffe	erent legisla	ation						
Understanding and keeping up-to-	date with	changes in	ı legal requir	rements					
Training staff to ensure compliance	e with leg	gal requirem	nents						
Inspections and administrative req	uirement	s							
We do not view the business costs	s of meet	ing EU chei	micals legisla	ation to be	significant				
lead to particularly significant Yes No Relevance 23. To what extent has the E				for che	micals c	ontribu	ted to a		
reduction in the number and with safer alternatives? (1= no	or use	of hazaı	rdous che	emicals a	and/or the				
		1	2	3	4	5	l don't know		
Framework has led to a reduction in the nur and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or to substitution with safer alternatives		0	0	0	•	0	0		
24. To what extent does the existing EU legislative framework sufficiently address emerging areas of concern, e.g. arising from advances in science and technology? (1= they are not sufficiently addressed, 5 = they are sufficiently addressed)									
Novel areas of concern sufficiently	1	2	3	4	5	I de	on't know		
addressed by framework	0	0	0	•	0		0		
Please comment Generally, the current EU legislative fra	amework	includes th	e tools to ad	ldrass amar	aina areas	of concer	n		
					uniu dicas i		11.		

Coherence

25. Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements relating to the EU chemicals legislation framework overall

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The EU chemicals legislation framework contains gaps and missing links	0	0	0	•	0
The EU chemicals legislation framework has overlaps	0	0	•	\circ	0
The EU chemicals legislation framework is internally inconsistent	0	0	0	•	0

26. Please indicate any incoherence (gaps or missing links, overlaps, inconsistencies etc.) between the different pieces of legislation which are under the scope of this fitness check. Please only consider aspects related to hazard identification, risk assessment and risk management of chemicals.

Gaps or missing links

There is sometimes a poor integration of labelling requirements under the different pieces of legislation (cf. F-gas Regulation, REACH Annex XVII, CPR).

Inconsistencies

It happens that classification and labelling differs between ADR and CLP. For example, one additive is classified toxic (class 6) for ADR and warning (SGH 07) for CLP.

27. Please indicate any incoherence (gaps or missing links, overlaps, inconsistencies etc.) between legislation which are covered by this fitness check and <u>any other legislation</u> you consider relevant as regards the regulation and risk management of chemicals.

Certain overlapping requirements exist in REACH and the occupational health legislation.

Part IV: Specific questions on the CLP Regulation

28. CLP communicates hazards to workers and consumers through various label elements, including danger words, pictograms, hazard statements and precautionary statements. (1= not effective; 5= very effective)

	1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
To what extent are CLP labels effective in communicating hazards to workers?	0	0	0	•	0	0
To what extent are CLP labels effective in communicating hazards to consumers?	0	0	0	•	0	0

Yes		ľ	٧o	ļ	don't know
•					0
•)		0
(i))		0
oort t	o companie	s throug	ıh formal	quidanc	e documen
				J	
1	2	3	4	5	No experience
0	0	0	•	0	0
0	0	0	•	0	0
0	0	0	•	0	0
0	0	0	•	0	0
ssary					
stries) a	re generally ve	ry good.			
		•			
	elements rel 5= very satis	ating to	the CLP o	lassifica	tion criteria
		ating to	the CLP o	l assifica i	tion <i>criteria</i> I don't kno
tory;	5= very satis	ating to to			
tory; 	5= very satis	lating to to to sfactory)	4	5	l don't kno
1	5= very satis	ating to to state of the state	4	5	I don't kno
1 0	5= very satis	lating to a sfactory)	4 •	5 0	I don't kno
tory; t	5= very satis	ating to to sfactory) 3 • • ons to tech	4 o o o thnical pro	5 O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	I don't kno
tory; t	p= very satis	ating to to sfactory) 3 • • ons to tech	4 o o o thnical pro	5 O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	I don't kno
tory; t	p= very satis	ating to to sfactory) 3 • • ons to tech	4 o o o thnical pro	5 O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	I don't kno
tory; t	p= very satis	ating to to sfactory) 3 • • ons to tech	4 o o o thnical pro	5 O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	I don't kno
	oport to the first of the first	oort to companie not effective; 5= v	ort to companies throughot effective; 5= very effective; 1 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	cort to companies through formal not effective; 5= very effective) 1 2 3 4 1 0 0 0	cort to companies through formal guidance not effective; 5= very effective) 1 2 3 4 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

34. 7	ГО	what	extent	are	the	current	elemen	ts o	f the	proced	lures i	for	harmonis	ed
class	sifi	cation	& label	lling	(CLH	l) satisfa	ctory? (1= nc	t sati	sfactory	r; 5= ve	ery :	satisfactoi	Y)

1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
0	0	0	•	0	0
0	0	•	0	\circ	0
0	0	0	•	0	0
0	0	•	0	0	0
	1 0 0	1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	